



Teacher motivation, job satisfaction, and classroom performance: a narrative review of recent evidence

Naveen Kumar

Department of Educational Foundations, Faculty of Education, Banaras Hindu University, Uttar Pradesh, India

Abstract

Teachers' motivation and job satisfaction are widely assumed to shape the quality of classroom teaching, yet the strength and mechanisms of these links remain debated. This narrative review synthesises recent meta-analyses and systematic reviews, mainly published between 2023 and 2026, on teachers in primary and secondary (K-12) schooling. Evidence is organised around motivation theory, job satisfaction and well-being, and the working conditions that sustain them. Across syntheses, higher satisfaction is associated with lower turnover intentions and absenteeism, stronger emotional and instructional support, better classroom management, and more favourable student motivation and achievement, while burnout shows the reverse pattern. Autonomous motivation, competence satisfaction, supportive relationships, and manageable job demands are consistent correlates of teacher well-being. However, most studies are correlational and self-reported, heterogeneity is high, links to student achievement are small and sometimes inconsistent, and the mediating processes remain under-researched. Interventions can reduce teacher stress, but their effects on classroom outcomes are rarely tested. The review concludes that supporting teacher motivation and satisfaction is a defensible but insufficient strategy for improving classroom performance, and it calls for longitudinal, multi-informant research and school-level policies that strengthen autonomy, leadership support, and workload management.

Keywords: Teacher motivation, job satisfaction, teacher well-being, teaching quality, self-determination theory, K-12 education

Introduction

Teachers are the most proximal school-based influence on what students experience each day, so the psychological state of the teaching workforce matters for more than staff retention. Policymakers facing teacher shortages increasingly argue that motivated and satisfied teachers deliver better instruction, and that improving working lives is therefore an investment in learning. The argument is intuitive, but it bundles together several distinct claims: that motivation and satisfaction change how teachers behave, that those behaviours change what students gain, and that schools can alter motivation and satisfaction deliberately. This paper examines these claims in the context of K-12 schooling. Teacher motivation is treated as the set of beliefs, goals, and needs that energise and direct professional effort, while job satisfaction is treated as teachers' evaluative attitude toward their work. Classroom performance is understood broadly as the quality of teacher-student interactions, namely emotional support, classroom management, and instructional support, together with student motivation and achievement. The aim is to establish what recent syntheses show, how confident we can be, and what this implies for practice.

Three guiding questions structure the review: which models explain teacher motivation and satisfaction, what evidence links them to classroom performance, and what obstacles limit practical use of that evidence.

Review Approach

This is a targeted narrative review rather than a registered systematic review. Searches were run through publicly indexed scholarly sources, publisher pages, and institutional

repositories using combinations of the terms teacher motivation, teacher job satisfaction, teacher well-being, burnout, teaching quality, and student outcomes. Priority was given to meta-analyses and systematic reviews published between 2023 and 2026, supplemented by large international studies of working conditions and by two earlier works that supply theoretical or intervention context. Only sources whose existence and main findings could be confirmed from their abstracts or full texts were used, and results are reported in the reviewer's own words. Because no formal screening protocol or quality appraisal was applied, the selection may be incomplete, and conclusions should be read as an informed overview rather than an exhaustive census.

Major Approaches and Models

Motivational foundations

Self-determination theory (SDT) is the most prominent framework for understanding teacher motivation. It holds that autonomous forms of motivation flourish when the basic psychological needs for autonomy, competence, and relatedness are met, and Ryan and Deci (2020) ^[6] emphasise that teachers' own motivation is shaped, and often constrained, by controlling mandates, institutional pressure, and leadership style. Other influential perspectives include social cognitive theory, situated expectancy-value theory, and achievement goal theory. In a systematic review of 16 eligible studies drawn from 1,607 records, Lazarides et al. (2025) ^[4] found that the processes linking motivation to teaching behaviour have been studied too little, with research concentrating on self-efficacy and goals rather than on value beliefs. Their proposed model suggests that

efficacy beliefs and goals influence cognitive, emotional, and behavioural processes, which in turn shape how teachers teach.

Job satisfaction and well-being

Job satisfaction and well-being are closely related but distinct. Zhou et al. (2024) ^[9] meta-analysed 173 studies (N = 89,876) using the Job Demands-Resources (JD-R) framework, in which demands such as excessive workload drain well-being while resources such as autonomy and social support promote engagement. Hope, autonomous motivation, psychological capital, and job competencies were the strongest positive predictors of well-being, whereas neuroticism and disengagement coping were the strongest negative ones. Turnover intentions were the strongest negative consequence of low well-being, and burnout and work engagement were its strongest correlates. The authors caution that some very high correlations, such as those involving autonomous motivation and job satisfaction, may reflect conceptual overlap between constructs rather than truly separate phenomena.

Working conditions and school leadership

Satisfaction is also a product of the school environment. Using TIMSS 2019 data from 46 countries and benchmarking entities, Eryilmaz et al. (2025) ^[2] examined leadership support, student discipline, and workload among secondary teachers and found notable associations between leadership support and discipline, on the one hand, and job satisfaction, on the other. Zhou et al. (2024) ^[9] similarly identified teacher-student relationships, trust, workplace climate, and work-life balance as meaningful positive correlates of well-being, with student disruptive behaviour acting as a demand. Together these studies suggest that motivation and satisfaction are not purely individual traits but respond to how schools are organised and led.

Evidence on Effectiveness

The strongest direct evidence on performance comes from Wartenberg et al.'s (2023) ^[7] meta-analysis of 105 records. Higher teacher job satisfaction was related to lower turnover intentions and absenteeism and to better teacher-student interactions, including emotional support, classroom management, and instructional support, as well as to enhanced student motivation and achievement. Importantly, the associations held for external reports of teaching as well as for teachers' self-ratings. A companion meta-analysis of 86 studies found the mirror image for burnout: higher burnout symptoms were linked to poorer teacher-student interactions and lower student motivation and achievement and to more absenteeism, although the size of the associations varied with whether teachers or outsiders rated the outcome (Wartenberg et al., 2026) ^[8].

The picture becomes more cautious when student achievement is the outcome. Bardach and Klassen (2021) ^[1] concluded that links between teacher motivation and well-being are well documented, whereas links to student outcomes are less consistent, and they argued that the signal may be lost somewhere along the long chain from teacher motivation through instruction to student learning. Eryilmaz and Strietholt (2025) ^[2], analysing TIMSS 2015 data from 45 countries, found that leadership support had a small positive association with the job satisfaction of mathematics

(0.20) and science (0.22) teachers but a small negative association with student achievement (-0.05 and -0.04). These are cross-sectional associations, so they cannot show that support harms achievement; one plausible but untested reading is that leaders offer more support where teaching conditions are harder.

Relationships appear to be one route through which well-being and classroom life influence each other. Zhou et al. (2024) ^[9] found a moderate-to-strong association between teacher-student relationships and overall teacher well-being, and a negative association with perceived student disruptive behaviour. This is consistent with a reciprocal loop in which positive interactions sustain teachers' energy, and energised teachers in turn invest more in those interactions, although the cross-sectional designs prevent firm conclusions about direction.

Evidence that deliberate intervention can improve the underlying psychological state is more encouraging. A meta-analysis of stress-management programmes for schoolteachers reported a pooled effect of -1.13 (95% CI -1.52 to -0.73) on stress, with cognitive-behavioural approaches strongest, followed by meditation, although heterogeneity was very high and overall evidence quality was moderate (Paudel et al., 2022) ^[5]. Notably, that review measured stress rather than teaching quality or student learning.

Barriers and Challenges to Implementation

The first barrier is the weakness of the causal evidence. Zhou et al. (2024) ^[9] reported that only about 5% of eligible studies were time-lagged, and the remaining cross-sectional designs cannot separate whether satisfied teachers teach better or whether teaching well makes teachers satisfied. Bardach and Klassen (2021) ^[1] likewise stressed the need to study reverse and reciprocal effects. A second barrier is measurement: self-report dominates, constructs overlap, and effects differ by rater, which complicates conclusions about actual classroom practice (Wartenberg et al., 2026; Zhou et al., 2024) ^[8,9].

Third, mechanisms are poorly specified. If the processes connecting motivation to behaviour are not well understood (Lazarides et al., 2025) ^[4], schools cannot be confident about which lever to pull. Fourth, heterogeneity limits generalisation; Zhou et al. (2024) ^[9] observed high variability across studies, and the stress-intervention meta-analysis showed similar inconsistency (Paudel et al., 2022) ^[5]. Finally, structural conditions constrain individual-level solutions. Controlling mandates and institutional pressures can erode teacher autonomy (Ryan & Deci, 2020) ^[6], and workload and student behaviour are partly outside any single teacher's control. Zhou et al. (2024) ^[9] also noted that workload may relate to well-being in a non-linear way, which makes simple reduction targets difficult to set. Programmes that ask teachers to cope better without changing these conditions risk treating symptoms.

Discussion and Implications

Taken together, the evidence supports a modest but consistent claim: teachers who are more satisfied, more autonomously motivated, and less burned out tend to show better teaching behaviour and are more likely to remain in the profession. It does not yet support a strong claim that raising satisfaction will, by itself, raise student achievement.

The most defensible interpretation is that teacher psychological functioning operates mainly through the quality of classroom interactions, which then influences students, and that this long pathway dilutes effects on test outcomes.

For school leaders, the implications centre on conditions rather than slogans. The findings point to autonomy-supportive leadership, constructive discipline systems, collegial trust, and realistic workloads as plausible levers, because these are the factors repeatedly linked to well-being and satisfaction (Eryilmaz et al., 2025; Zhou et al., 2024) ^[2-9]. Individual-level supports such as stress-management training may complement these changes but should not replace them. For teacher education and professional development, Lazarides et al. (2025) ^[4] imply that programmes should build competence beliefs and goals alongside the cognitive, emotional, and behavioural processes that turn them into practice. For researchers, the priorities are longitudinal and experimental designs, observation and student-reported measures of teaching quality, and interventions evaluated on classroom outcomes rather than on teacher stress alone.

Several cautions apply when applying these findings locally. Zhou et al. (2024) ^[9] noted that most well-being measures were developed in Western cultural settings and that pre-service teachers made up only a small share of the evidence base, so patterns may differ across countries, school types, and career stages. The large international datasets help by covering many systems, yet they rely on brief survey items. Readers working in a particular context, such as a developing education system or a vocational school, should therefore treat the pooled estimates as starting hypotheses to be tested locally, not as fixed expectations.

Conclusion

Recent syntheses show that teacher motivation, job satisfaction, and well-being are reliably connected to retention, teaching quality, and, more weakly, student outcomes. The evidence is largely correlational, self-reported, and heterogeneous, so causal claims should be made cautiously. Nevertheless, because the conditions associated with satisfaction, such as autonomy, supportive leadership, and manageable demands, are worthwhile in their own right, improving them is a sound strategy that should be paired with rigorous evaluation of its effects on classroom performance.

References

1. Bardach L, Klassen RM. Teacher motivation and student outcomes: Searching for the signal. *Educational Psychologist*, 2021. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00461520.2021.1991799>
2. Eryilmaz N, Kennedy AI, Strietholt R, Johansson S. Teacher job satisfaction: International evidence on the role of school working conditions and teacher characteristics. *Studies in Educational Evaluation*, 2025, Article 101474. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.stueduc.2025.101474>
3. Eryilmaz N, Strietholt R. School leadership matters: Evidence from TIMSS on teacher job satisfaction and students' academic outcomes. *International Journal of Educational Research Open*, 2025;9:Article 100520.
4. Lazarides R, Schiefele U, Daumiller M, Dresel M. From teacher motivation to teaching behaviour: A systematic review and theoretical framework of the mediating processes. *Educational Research Review*, 2025;48:Article 100703. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.edurev.2025.100703>
5. Paudel NR, Adhikari BA, K.C. P, Kyrönlahti S, Nygård C-H, Neupane S. Effectiveness of interventions on the stress management of schoolteachers: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Occupational and Environmental Medicine*, 2022;79:477–485.
6. Ryan RM, Deci EL. Intrinsic and extrinsic motivation from a self-determination theory perspective: Definitions, theory, practices, and future directions. *Contemporary Educational Psychology*, 2020;61:Article 101860. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cedpsych.2020.101860>
7. Wartenberg G, Aldrup K, Grund S, Klusmann U. Satisfied and high performing? A meta-analysis and systematic review of the correlates of teachers' job satisfaction. *Educational Psychology Review*, 2023;35(4):Article 114. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10648-023-09831-4>
8. Wartenberg G, Aldrup K, Grund S, Klusmann U. How strongly is teachers' burnout related to teacher absenteeism, teacher–student interactions, and student motivation and achievement: A meta-analysis and systematic review. *Review of Educational Research*. Advance online publication, 2026. <https://doi.org/10.3102/00346543261455273>
9. Zhou S, Slemp GR, Vella-Brodrick DA. Factors associated with teacher wellbeing: A meta-analysis. *Educational Psychology Review*, 2024;36:Article 63. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10648-024-09886-x>